	P 6 4 8 3 3			
(21)		Civil .		
1	Phillip E. Benson, CA Bar #97420 WARREN ■ BENSON Law Group			
2	620 Newport Center Dr., Ste 1100 Newport Beach, CA 92660	Qui I AM		
3	Tel: 949-721-6636; Fax: 952-955-5177 philbenson@warrenbensonlaw.com			
4	Attorneys for <i>Qui Tam</i> Plaintiff			
5	Jack Chin			
6		·		
7	I MITTED STATES	DISTRICT COLDT		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9	•			
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, THE	Case No. CV 09-1293 PSG [PJWx]		
11	STATES OF CALIFORNIA, DELAWARE, FLORIDA, GEORGIA, HAWAII,	Case No. CV 03-1293 FSG [FJWX]		
12	ILLINOIS, INDIANA, LOUISIANA, MASSACHUSETTS, MICHIGAN,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT		
13	l NEVADA, NEW HAMPSHIRE, NEW	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
14	MEXICO, OKLAHOMA, RHODE ISLAND, TENNESSEE, TEXAS, VIRGINIA and WISCONSIN and THE	DEMIAND FOR JUKI TRIAL		
15	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ex rel. JACK CHIN,	FILED IN CAMERA AND UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO 31 U.S.C. §		
16	Plaintiffs,	3730(b)(2)]		
17	vs.			
18	WALGREEN COMPANY, RITE AID CORPORATION and			
19)	,		
20	Defendants.			
21				
22				
23				
24	·			
25				
26				
27				
28	·			

1	Pursuant to Rule 15(a)(1)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Qui Tam Plaintiff			
2	Jack Chin, by and through his attorneys, brings this amended complaint on behalf of the United			
3	States, the States of California, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois,			
4	Indiana, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico,			
5	Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia and Wisconsin, the District of Columbia			
6	and on his own behalf as follows:			
7	I.			
8	JURISDICTION			
9	1. This is an action for civil damages and penalties arising under the laws of the			
10	United States and the laws of various states to redress violations of the False Claims Act, 31			
11	U.S.C. § 3729 et seq. and analogous state laws. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction			
12	pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §§ 3732(a) and (b) because the defendants transact business in this district			
13	and can be found in this district. This court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law			
14	claims for violations of the analogous state law statutes under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 and 31 U.S.C. §			
15	3732(b).			
16	II.			
17	VENUE			
18	2. Venue is proper in this district under 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) because the defendants			
19	transact business in this district and can be found in this district.			
20	m.			
21	PARTIES			
22	3. Qui Tam plaintiff Jack Chin ("Chin") is a citizen of the United States. Chin, who			
23	holds a B.S. degree in chemistry from New York University and a Doctorate in Pharmacy from			
24	Temple University, has practiced as a licensed pharmacist in the private retail sector for the past			
25	two years. Chin is unaware of any prior public disclosure of the allegations in this case, but if any			
26	such public disclosure has occurred, Chin qualifies as an original source.			
27	4. Defendant Walgreen Company ("Walgreen") is a for profit Illinois Corporation			
28	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT			
ł				

Part D of Medicare pays for expanded outpatient prescription drug coverage to eligible beneficiaries through third-party insurance plans, otherwise known as Part D Plans ("PDPs"). Tricare is an agency of the U.S. Department of Defense that administers and supervises the health care program for certain active duty and retired military personnel and their dependants and pays for prescription drugs supplied by pharmacies to eligible beneficiaries.

- 10. Medicaid is medical assistance provided for certain low-income individuals under a state plan approved under Title XIX of the Social Security Act. State Medicaid, which is partially funded by the United States Government and partially funded by the state, pays for prescription drugs supplied by pharmacies to eligible beneficiaries. Participation in each state's Medicaid program is determined by regulations set by each state. Generally, each state assigns providers, including participating pharmacies, a unique identification number which is included on each electronic claim for reimbursement. Affixing this number to a claim certifies, under each state's Medicaid regulations, that as a Medicaid provider the pharmacy is in compliance with all applicable state and federal regulations.
- 11. The Federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits any person or entity from knowingly and willfully offering to pay any remuneration, directly or indirectly, overtly or covertly, in cash or in kind to any person to induce such person to purchase, order, or arrange for ordering any good or item for which payment may be made in whole or in part under a federal health care program.

 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b). The related Beneficiary Inducements Statute prohibits "offers to or transfers [of] remuneration to any individual eligible for benefits under [Federal health care programs] that such person knows or should know is likely to influence such individual to order or receive from a particular provider, practitioner or supplier any item or service for which payment may be made, in whole or in part, under [federal health care programs]." 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7a(a)(5).
- 12. As a condition of enrollment as approved suppliers and providers of pharmaceuticals, prescription-drugs and other medical supplies to eligible beneficiaries of the federally sponsored health care programs, Walgreen, Rite Aid and certified that they

understood and agreed that payment of a claim by the federally sponsored health care programs was conditioned on the claim and the underlying transaction complying with the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, among other laws, regulations and program instructions, including the Beneficiary Inducements Statute. (See e.g. CMS-855S, Section 15). Additionally, the defendants' pharmacy agreements with various PDPs, MA plans and Pharmacy Benefit Management Plans ("PBMs"), wherein payment to the defendants is made under federally sponsored health care programs, were conditioned on the defendants' compliance with all applicable laws and regulations, including the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute and the Beneficiary Inducements Statute, and that any covered services provided by the defendants, including the sale of pharmaceuticals. prescription drugs and other medical supplies to eligible beneficiaries of the federally sponsored health care programs, would be done in compliance with their contractual obligations, including compliance with the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute and the Beneficiary Inducements Statute. Compliance with the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute and the Beneficiary Inducements Statute is a prerequisite to payment of federal funds. A violation of the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute or the Beneficiary Inducements Statutes vitiates a provider's right to receive or retain federal funds arising from the related claim. A claim for payment submitted by a provider that has violated the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute or the Beneficiary Inducements Statute is a false claim for payment in violation of the False Claims Act. Chin is informed and believes, and hereby alleges, that at various times during the 13. course of the last ten years, the defendants have paid unlawful remuneration to numerous eligible beneficiaries of the federally sponsored health care plans and programs in violation of the Federal

course of the last ten years, the defendants have paid unlawful remuneration to numerous eligible beneficiaries of the federally sponsored health care plans and programs in violation of the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute and the Beneficiary Inducements Statute to induce such persons to purchase, order, or arrange to order or otherwise influence such persons to order prescription drugs and other medical supplies from the defendants' pharmacies for which payment would be made in whole or in part under a federal health care program. Specifically, defendants have given to such eligible beneficiaries gift cards valued in the amount of \$25 and in other varying amounts which were more than nominal in order to induce such beneficiaries to transfer their patronage

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

7

10

14 15

13

16 17

19 20

18

21 22

23 24 25

27

26

28

and to purchase prescription drugs and other medical supplies from the defendants. Defendants knew that such inducements offered to beneficiaries of publicly funded programs were unlawful and expressly acknowledged the unlawfulness of such inducements in the fine print of written materials advertising such gift cards in return for prescription transfers.

- 14. Chin first obtained knowledge of the defendants' unlawful practices during his employment as a retail pharmacist by the Sweetbay Supermarket Company which operates a chain of supermarkets in the Southeast United States. On numerous occasions, Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries and other federally funded health care program enrollees would present prescription transfer gift cards obtained from Walgreen and pharmacies and other large nation-wide pharmacy chains to him at Sweetbay and request that Sweetbay honor the gift cards as credits against purchases at Sweetbay. In order to remain competitive, Sweetbay would normally honor a competitor's gift cards, except that Chin refused to honor them if he determined that the customer was a Medicare or Medicaid beneficiary or an enrollee in a publicly funded health care program. These customers informed Chin that the defendants had provided them the gift cards in return for transferring their prescriptions to the defendants' pharmacies and purchasing prescription drugs from the defendants.
- 15. In order to confirm the reports that he had received from customers who were enrollees or beneficiaries of federally funded health care programs that they had unlawfully received gift cards from the defendants in return for transferring their prescriptions to the defendants' pharmacies and for purchasing prescription drugs from the defendants. Chin decided to investigate. His investigation has confirmed that the defendants' unlawful practices are being committed on a nation-wide basis.
- On January 31, 2009, Chin presented a prescription for his father-in-law to A. a Walgreens pharmacy in Clermont, Florida. The information Chin provided to the Walgreen pharmacy informed the pharmacy that his father-in-law was a beneficiary of a federally funded health-care-program-and-the-pharmacy-billed-the-federal-program-for-the-prescription drug. When

Chin returned to the pharmacy to pick up the prescription drug, he asked about the \$25 gift card

by the Walgreen employee along with the prescription drugs for his father-in-law and a receipt showing the Walgreens store, the date and time of the transaction, the purchase of the prescription drug identified by an RX identification number, the co-pay Chin paid for the On February 1, 2009, Chin repeated the same procedure for his mother-inlaw's drug prescription at a pharmacy in Clermont, Florida. Like his father-in-law's prescription, the information that Chin provided the pharmacy informed the pharmacy that his mother-in-law was the beneficiary of a federally funded health care program. The pharmacy billed the federal program for the prescription drug and gave Chin a \$25 gift card for the prescription transfer after Chin asked about the \$25 gift card then being advertised by receipt received by Chin from the pharmacy also identified the store, the date and time of the transaction, the prescription by an RX identification number, the co-pay paid by Chin for On April 1, 2009, Chin repeated the same procedure for his father-in-law's drug prescription at a pharmacy in Dothan, Alabama. The information that Chin provided pharmacy informed the pharmacy that his father-in-law was the beneficiary of a federally funded health care program. The pharmacy billed the federal program for the prescription drug and gave Chin a \$25 gift card for the prescription transfer after Chin asked about the \$25 gift card then being advertised by The receipt received by Chin from the pharmacy also

On or about March 24, 2009, T.O., who is a beneficiary of a federally funded health care program, presented her prescription as a new customer to a Walgreens pharmacy in Aurora, Colorado. The information T.O. provided to the Walgreens pharmacy informed the pharmacy that she was a beneficiary of a federally funded health care

program under Medicare Part D. The pharmacy billed the federal program for the prescription

26

26-

drug and charged T.O. a co-pay. When T.O. asked about the \$25 gift card for prescription drug transfers then being advertised by Walgreen, she was handed a \$25 gift card by the Walgreens employee. The RX number for the prescription filled for T.O. by the Walgreens pharmacy is 0050625-11616. The Walgreens gift card number is 6050634374184885.

- E. On or about April 7, 2009, T.O. presented her prescription as a new customer to a Rite Aid pharmacy in Aurora, Colorado. The information T.O. provided to the Rite Aid pharmacy informed the pharmacy that she was a beneficiary of a federally funded health care program under Medicare Part D. The pharmacy billed the federal program for the prescription drug and charged T.O. a co-pay. When T.O. asked about the \$25 gift card for prescription drug transfers then being advertised by Rite Aid, she was handed a \$25 gift card by the Rite Aid employee. The RX identification for the prescription filled for T.O. by the Rite Aid pharmacy is 06284 0180578. The Rite Aid gift card number is 6006496635532094963.
- F. On or about April 9, 2009, T.O. presented a prescription to the same Walgreens pharmacy in Aurora, Colorado that had provided her a \$25 gift card on March 24, 2009. The information T.O. provided to the Walgreens pharmacy informed the pharmacy that she was a beneficiary of a federally funded health care program under Medicare Part D. The pharmacy billed the federal program for the prescription drug and charged T.O. a co-pay. When T.O. asked about the \$25 gift card for prescription drug transfers then being advertised by Walgreen, she was handed a \$25 gift card by the Walgreens employee. The RX number for the prescription filled for Ott by the Walgreens pharmacy is 0051067. The Walgreens gift card number is 6050634370152477.
- G. On or about April 20, 2009, A.A., who is a beneficiary of a federally funded health care program, presented his prescriptions as a new customer to a Walgreens pharmacy in Cotati, California. The information A.A. provided to the Walgreens pharmacy informed the pharmacy that he was a beneficiary of a federally funded health care program under Medicare Part D. The pharmacy billed the federal program for the prescription drugs and charged A.A. a co-pay. When A.A. asked about the \$25 gift card for prescription drug

transfers then being advertised by Walgreen, he was handed a \$25 gift card by the Walgreens employee. Walgreens RFN number for the transaction is 0308-4089-8296-0904-1720. The Walgreens gift card number is 6042393158718301. The prescription numbers are 1175198 and 1175202.

16. As a result of the defendants' knowing payment of such unlawful remunerations to the beneficiaries and enrollees of federally funded health care programs to induce and reward said beneficiaries and enrollees to purchase prescription drugs from the defendants, wherein such programs paid for such prescription drugs in whole or in part, defendants have knowingly caused false claims to be submitted to the federally funded health care programs resulting in reimbursement to the defendants of millions of dollars from the federally funded health care programs in violation of the Federal False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq., the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b), and the Beneficiary Inducements Statute, 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7a(a)(5). Because of these acts, the United States has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

V.

SECOND CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND FOR VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA FALSE CLAIMS ACT

- 17. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16, inclusive.
- 18. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of California, false MediCal (California Medicaid) claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the California False Claims Act, Cal. Gov. Code §12650 et seq. Because of these acts, the State of California has suffered monetary damages in an amount which

VI.

THIRD CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND FOR VIOLATION OF THE DELAWARE FALSE CLAIMS AND REPORTING ACT

- 19. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16, inclusive.
- 20. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of Delaware, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Delaware False Claims and Reporting Act, Delaware Statute Title VI, Section 1201. Because of these acts, the State of Delaware has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

FOURTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND VIOLATION OF THE FLORIDA FALSE CLAIMS ACT

VII.

- 21. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-
- 22. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of Florida, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Florida False Claims Act, Florida Statute §§68.081-68.09. Because of these acts, the State of Florida has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

<u>21</u>

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

VIII.

FIFTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND FOR VIOLATION OF THE GEORGIA FALSE MEDICAID CLAIMS ACT

- 23. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16 inclusive.
- 24. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of Georgia, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Georgia False Medicaid Claims Act, § 49-4-168 et seq. Because of these acts, the State of Georgia has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

IX.

SIXTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND VIOLATION OF THE HAWAII FALSE CLAIMS ACT

- 25. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16 inclusive.
- 26. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of Hawaii, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Hawaii False Claims Act, Haw. Rev. Stat. § 661-21 et seq. Because of these acts, the State of Hawaii has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

24

20

21

22

23

25

-26

27

28

Х.

FOR

VIOLATION OF THE ILLINOIS WHISTLEBLOWER

SEVENTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND

REWARD AND PROTECTION ACT

27. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16 inclusive.

28. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of Illinois, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Illinois Whistleblower Reward and Protection Act, 740 ILCS 175/3 et seq. Because of these acts, the State of Illinois has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

XI.

EIGHTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND VIOLATION OF THE INDIANA

FALSE CLAIMS AND WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION ACT

- 29. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16 inclusive.
- 30. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of Indiana, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Indiana False Claims and Whistleblower Protection Act, IC 5-11-5.5-2 et seq. Because of these acts, the State of Indiana has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

XII.

NINTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND FOR

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

VIOLATION OF THE LOUISIANA MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS INTEGRITY LAW

- 31. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16 inclusive.
- 32. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of Louisiana, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Louisiana Medical Assistance Programs Integrity Law, Louisiana Rev. Stat. § 46:439.1 et seq. Because of these acts, the State of Louisiana has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

XIII.

TENTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND FOR VIOLATION OF THE MASSACHUSETTS FALSE CLAIMS ACT

- 33. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-
- 34. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of Massachusetts, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Massachusetts False Claims Act, Mass. Gen. Laws, Ch. 12, § 5(A) et seq. Because of these acts, the State of Massachusetts has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

XIV.

ELEVENTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND

FOR

VIOLATION OF THE MICHIGAN MEDICAID FALSE CLAIMS ACT 1 2 3 35. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-4 16 inclusive. 36. 5 By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly 6 submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the 7 State of Michigan, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in 8 violation of the Michigan Medicaid False Claims Act, M.C.L. 400.601 et seq. Because of these 9 acts, the State of Michigan has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at 10 trial. 11 12 XV. 13 TWELFTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND VIOLATION OF THE NEVADA FALSE CLAIMS ACT 14 15 16 37. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16 inclusive. 17 38. 18 By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the 19 20 State of Nevada, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Nevada False Claims Act, Nevada Rev. Stat. § 357.010 et seq. Because of these acts, the 21 22 State of Nevada has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial. 23 24 XVI.

27 28

25

26

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

VIOLATION OF THE NEW HAMPSHIRE MEDICAID FRAUD

AND FALSE CLAIMS ACT

THIRTEENTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND

- 39. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16 inclusive.
- 40. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of New Hampshire, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the New Hampshire Medicaid Fraud and False Claims Act, New Hampshire Rev. Stat. 167:61 *et seq.* Because of these acts, the State of New Hampshire has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

XVII.

FOURTEENTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND VIOLATION OF THE NEW JERSEY FALSE CLAIMS ACT

- 41. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16 inclusive.
- 42. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of New Jersey, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the New Jersey False Claims Act, New Jersey Stat. 2(A):326-1 et seq. Because of these acts, the State of New Jersey has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

XVIII.

FIFTEENTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND

VIOLATION OF THE NEW MEXICO FALSE CLAIMS ACT

- 43. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16 inclusive.
- 44. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of New Mexico, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the New Mexico Medicaid False Claims Act, New Mexico Stat. § 27-14-1 et seq. Because of these acts, the State of New Mexico has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

XIX.

SIXTEENTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND VIOLATION OF THE RHODE ISLAND STATE FALSE CLAIMS ACT

- 45. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16 inclusive.
- 46. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of Rhode Island, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Rhode Island State False Claims Act, Ch.1.1, § 9-1.1-1 et seq. Because of these acts, the State of Rhode Island has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

XX.

SEVENTEENTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND FOR

VIOLATION OF THE TENNESSEE MEDICAID FALSE CLAIMS ACT

- 47. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16 inclusive.
- 48. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of Tennessee, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Tennessee Medicaid False Claims Act, Tenn. Stat. §§ 75-1-181 et seq. Because of these acts, the State of Tennessee has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

XXI.

EIGHTEENTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND VIOLATION OF THE TEXAS MEDICAID FRAUD PREVENTION ACT

- 49. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16 inclusive.
- 50. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of Texas, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Texas Medicaid Fraud Prevention Act, Texas Human Resources Code, Ch. 36, § 36.101 et seq. Because of these acts, the State of Texas has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

XXII.

NINETEENTH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND VIOLATION OF THE VIRGINIA FRAUD AGAINST TAXPAYERS ACT

51. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-

16 inclusive.

52. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of Virginia, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Virginia Fraud Against Taxpayers Act, Ch. 3, Title 8.01, Article 19.1 et seq. Because of these acts, the State of Virginia has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

XXIII.

TWENTIETH CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND FOR VIOLATION OF THE WISCONSIN FALSE CLAIMS FOR MEDICAL ASSISTANCE ACT

- 53. Chin incorporates by reference herein the allegations made above in paragraphs 1-16 inclusive.
- 54. By virtue of the above-described acts, among others, defendants have knowingly submitted, and continue to submit, directly or indirectly, to officers, employees or agents of the State of Wisconsin, false Medicaid claims for payment or approval for prescription drugs in violation of the Wisconsin False Claims for Medical Assistance Act, W.S.A. § 20.931 et seq. Because of these acts, the State of Wisconsin has suffered monetary damages in an amount which will be proven at trial.

XXIV.

TWENTY FIRST CLAIM AGAINST WALGREEN, RITE AID AND VIOLATION OF THE OKLAHOMA MEDICAID FALSE CLAIMS ACT

28

has sustained because of the defendants' false or fraudulent claims and

1	C	ivil penalties up to the maximum permitted by law, for the maximum qui	
2	į t	am percentage share allowed pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3730(d) and for	
3	a	ttorney's fees, costs and reasonable expenses;	
4	B. In an amount equal to the maximum amount of damages (multiplied unde		
5	state and local laws) sustained by the States of California, Delaware,		
6	Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana, Massachusetts,		
7	Michigan, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Rhode		
8	Island, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of		
9	Columbia because of the defendants' false or fraudulent Medicaid claims		
10	and civil penalties up to the maximum permitted by state law, for the		
11	maximum qui tam percentage share allowed pursuant to state and local		
12	laws and for attorney's fees, costs and reasonable expenses; and		
13	B. F	or any and all other relief to which the plaintiffs may be entitled.	
14			
15	JURY DEMAND		
16	Plaintiffs request trial by jury.		
17			
18	Dated: April 27, 2009	Respectfully Submitted,	
19			
20		WARREN BENSON Law Group	
21			
22	·		
23		By: (E. Benson	
24		Attorney for <i>Qui Tam</i> Plaintiff Jack Chin	
25		·	
2 6			
27			
28			